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Keypoints

- The prevalence of type 2 diabetes is increasing worldwide.
- Approximately 285 million people worldwide have diabetes in 2010, making it one of the most common non-communicable diseases globally.
- The largest increase is observed in regions with rapidly developing economies and urbanization.
- The aging population, with an increase in the proportion of people aged over 65 years in most countries, has contributed significantly to this increase in prevalence.
- The age of onset of diabetes is also decreasing in many countries, giving rise to an increasing proportion of young people of working age being affected by the disease.
- Several risk factors are known to be associated with increased risk of type 2 diabetes. Many of these risk factors are associated with a westernized lifestyle and increase with urbanization.

Introduction

Type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) is one of the most common forms of chronic disease globally and few societies or ethnic groups are spared. It accounts for about 85% of cases of diabetes in Caucasians and virtually all in certain non-Caucasian ethnic groups. In 2010, it was estimated that 285 million people worldwide have diabetes, of whom 80% live in less developed countries and areas [1]. Among those aged 20–79 years, about 6.6% have diabetes globally [1]. The highest number of people with diabetes is in the Western Pacific Region, with 76 million, and the region with the highest prevalence rate, at 11.7%, is North America and the Caribbean [1].

The number of people with diabetes is expected to reach 438 million by 2030, an increase of 54% compared to predicted figures for 2010 [1]. The largest increases will be in countries with rapidly growing economies, such as India and China. With the increasing consumption of high-energy food, increasing adoption of sedentary lifestyles and urbanization, increasing numbers of individuals develop T2DM, and the age of diagnosis is decreasing. Individuals exposed to longer periods of hyperglycemia will undoubtedly have increased risks of developing vascular complications related to diabetes. The potential health care costs and burden of diabetes in these regions will have a significant impact on the economic growth of these regions, as discussed further in Chapter 5.

The epidemiology and prevalence of diabetes is partly determined by the diagnostic criteria used to diagnose diabetes, and these have been modified on a number of occasions. The diagnostic criteria for diabetes and impaired glucose tolerance (IGT) is based on epidemiologic evidence relating microvascular complications to specific degrees of hyperglycemia, and the fasting glucose cut-off has been modified as new data emerge. These changes have major implications on the interpretations of current and future epidemiologic studies on diabetes. In 1999, the diagnostic threshold of fasting glucose was lowered from 7.8 to 7.0 mmol/L. A fasting glucose between 6.0 and <7 mmol/L was previously considered to be pre-diabetic and the term “impaired fasting glucose” (IFG) was used. Subsequent lowering of the “normal” fasting glucose level to 5.6 mmol/L further increases the number of patients with “pre-diabetes.” IGT, however, is a state of intermediate hyperglycemia that is only identified by oral

glucose tolerance testing (OGTT), with a post-load glucose level of 7.8–11.1 mmol/L. It is estimated that about 344 million or 7.9% in the 20–79 age group have IGT in 2010 [1]. Abnormal glucose tolerance is frequently associated with visceral obesity, hypertension and dyslipidemia, a collection of cardiovascular risk factors known as metabolic syndrome. While these subjects are at high risk of developing diabetes and coronary artery disease, interventions with increased physical activity, reduced fat and energy intake can substantially reduce these risks by 40–60%.

**Risk factors for type 2 diabetes**

Several risk factors are known to be associated with increased risk of T2DM. These include increasing age, obesity (especially central obesity), dietary excess, dietary factors such as increased intake of animal fats, carbonated drinks, sedentary lifestyle, a positive family history, history of gestational diabetes, polycystic ovary syndrome, severe mental illness, presence of hypertension, hyperlipidemia or cardiometabolic risk factors (Figure 4.1) (see Chapters 11, 12 and 14). The clustering of some of these risk factors – hypertension, elevated blood glucose, elevated triglyceride, low high-density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol, and abdominal obesity – is termed the metabolic syndrome. Many of these risk factors are associated with a westernized lifestyle and increase with urbanization and mechanization. The recognition of the role of these factors in the pathogenesis of T2DM has led to recommendations for selective screening for T2DM in subjects with these risk factors [2].

Obesity accounts for 80–85% of the overall risk of developing T2DM, and underlies the current global spread of the disease [3]. The risk of T2DM increases as body mass index (BMI) increases above 24 kg/m², although the risk appears to be present in association with lower BMI cut-offs in Asians [4,5]. While central obesity is a particularly strong factor, it can impart further risk regardless of the overall level of general obesity. This obesity-related risk is particularly marked in certain ethnic populations such as Native Americans, African-Americans, South Asians, Chinese (and other Asian populations) and Pacific Islanders [6–9], and may be related to increased visceral adiposity. Obesity, particularly central adiposity, is associated with insulin resistance, as well as β-cell dysfunction, partly through increased free fatty acids and lipotoxicity (see Chapter 14). Obesity is also associated with other metabolic abnormalities such as dyslipidemia and hypertension. Presence of the metabolic syndrome, according to the different definitions, is associated with two- to five-fold increased risk of developing diabetes in most populations [10]. Epidemiologic studies have suggested that early life events such as low birth weight and fetal malnutrition may also be associated with increased risk of diabetes and cardiovascular disease later in life [11].

**Recent emerging risk factors**

**Decreased sleep**

In addition to changes in diet and level of physical activity, it is recently recognized that short sleep duration, another facet of our modern lifestyle, may also be an important contributing factor to the increasing prevalence of T2DM. Early seminal work has highlighted the detrimental effects of sleep deprivation on glucose tolerance and insulin sensitivity [12]. Subsequent cross-sectional studies have suggested an association between short sleep duration and diabetes [13] and obesity [14]. In a prospective study of more than 70000 women in the Nurses Health Study, short sleep duration was associated with approximately 57% increase in the risk of diabetes diagnosis over the 10-year study period [15]. Similar data were obtained from the first National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES I), which noted that subjects who have sleep durations of 5 hours or less had a 47% increase in the incidence of diabetes over a 10-year period [16]. The exact mechanism whereby sleep restriction increases diabetes risk is not clear, although it may be related to activation of the sympathetic nervous system, decrease in cerebral glucose utilization, changes in the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis as well as other neuroendocrine dysregulation [16].

**Drug-induced metabolic changes**

There is increasing recognition that some commonly used medications may be associated with adverse metabolic effects and increased risk of diabetes (see Chapter 16) [17]. High dose thiazide diuretics are known to worsen insulin resistance and beta-blockers can impair insulin secretion. More recently, the use of antipsychotic agents, particularly second generation (atypical) antipsychotics, have been linked with hyperglycemia and diabetes [18]. The increasing use of highly active antiretroviral therapy (HAART) has dramatically reduced the mortality of patients with HIV infection; however, protease inhibitors and, to a lesser extent, nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors, have been found to be associated with insulin resistance, deranged glucose and lipid metabolism and an increased risk for T2DM. The increasing use of such agents will likely have a significant impact.
on the epidemiology of diabetes in areas where HIV/AIDS is endemic such as Africa (see Chapter 50) [19].

**Environmental pollutants**

While most studies on the increasing burden of diabetes with westernized lifestyle have focused on changes in dietary patterns and the increasingly sedentary lifestyles, recent studies suggest environmental pollutants may represent a previously unrecognized link between urbanization and diabetes [20,21]. For example, there is strong cross-sectional association between serum concentrations of chlorinated persistent organic pollutants with diabetes [22], as well as components of the metabolic syndrome [23]. More recently, brominated flame-retardants have emerged as another class of organic pollutants that are associated with diabetes [24]. It is believed that these environmental toxins may accumulate in adipose tissue and act as endocrine disruptors, leading to dysregulation of glucose and lipid metabolism.

**Low birth weight and fetal malnutrition**

There is now increasing evidence to support a relationship between intra-uterine environment, fetal malnutrition and the risk of diabetes and cardiovascular disease later in life [11,25]. Maternal undernutrition, low infant birth weight, along with rapid postnatal growth, has been found to be associated with increased risk of diabetes in the offspring. This “mismatch” of a metabolic phenotype programmed during intra-uterine development and the nutritionally rich postnatal environment may be most important in regions that are undergoing rapid economic development. In addition, offspring of obese women or women with diabetes have an increased risk of diabetes and cardiometabolic abnormalities [26,27]. With increasing numbers of women with young-onset diabetes, this is likely to exacerbate further the epidemic of diabetes by setting up a vicious cycle of “diabetes begetting diabetes” [1,11,28].

Despite the increasing recognition of these novel risk factors, the main risk factors associated with diabetes remain the traditional ones of increasing age, adiposity, physical inactivity, dietary factors, positive family history and presence of other cardiometabolic risk factors, as outlined in Figure 4.1.

---

**Methodologic issues in the epidemiology of type 2 diabetes**

In comparing epidemiologic data in T2DM, one must be aware of the importance of the study methodology. Survey methods must be robust, to allow comparison and standardization. A large, truly random sample of a community, with a good response rate, is best; workplace samples may demonstrate “healthy worker” effects, while selective samples (e.g. volunteers, or subjects with another disease) are the least useful because of inbuilt recruitment bias. The age distribution of sample populations is crucial in studying T2DM, whose prevalence rises with age; study populations must be age-stratified and any comparisons age-adjusted, either within the data set, or standardized against a reference population. Finally, ascertainment methods are important – for example, whether subjects undergo an OGTT, with or without preliminary blood glucose screening.

Studies conducted in different regions of the world have highlighted an increase in the prevalence of T2DM. While few would argue that this translates into increasing burden associated with diabetes, it is important to recognize the factors that have contributed to this increased prevalence. Several factors directly affect the prevalence of diabetes, and may partly account for the increasing prevalence (Figure 4.2):

1. Changes in the ratio of diagnosed:undiagnosed cases of diabetes;
2. Population demographic changes with an aging population;
3. Earlier age at onset of diabetes;
4. Longer survival in patients with diabetes than before;
5. Increasing incidence of diabetes [29].

The different factors may have different contributions depending on the population being studied, although most if not all are of some importance in most populations.

**Effects of changes in the definition of diabetes**

While it has long been established that diabetes is a condition associated with elevated levels of glucose in the bloodstream, there was no widespread accepted definition of the syndrome until the 1980s, when the World Health Organization (WHO) Expert Committee on diabetes mellitus defined the diabetic state as a state of chronic hyperglycemia that may result from many environmental and genetic factors, often acting together [30]. The precise degree of hyperglycemia that defines diabetes has evolved with time, and relies on epidemiologic studies regarding the distribution of glucose levels within various populations.

There are several consequences of the changes in the definition of diabetes with time on the epidemiology of the condition. First, with the American Diabetes Association (ADA) and WHO...
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classification of 1999, the threshold of fasting glucose diagnostic of diabetes was lowered from 7.8 to 7.0 mmol/L, thereby increasing the number of individuals in any given population that fulfills a diagnosis of diabetes. Furthermore, it is important to appreciate that in any epidemiologic survey, whether the diagnosis was based on elevated fasting glucose or post-load values during an OGTT. While the lower fasting glucose values was chosen to resemble more closely the diagnostic significance of the 2-hour post-load concentration, numerous studies have demonstrated that the fasting glucose criteria and post-load criteria identify slightly different subjects in most populations [31–33], and the use of fasting glucose alone will reduce the overall prevalence of diabetes compared with that identified by 2-hour post-load glucose criteria [34]. Furthermore, there is an increasing number of epidemiologic studies that utilize measurement of HbA1c as an indicator of dysglycemia [35]. Although HbA1c is not at present considered a suitable diagnostic test for diabetes or intermediate hyperglycemia, this is under active discussion [36].

The WHO recommendations for the diagnostic criteria for diabetes and intermediate hyperglycemia is summarized in Table 4.1 (see Chapter 2). While the lower threshold for diagnosing IFG in the recommendation by the ADA will result in a larger number of subjects diagnosed to have intermediate hyperglycemia than the WHO recommendation, increased diagnostic activity (e.g. through use of the OGTT) will lead to an increasing ratio of diagnosed:undiagnosed diabetes, and may impact on the prevalence rate reported in epidemiology studies.

In a comprehensive report on the global prevalence of diabetes [37], it was noted that the most important demographic change to diabetes prevalence across the world was the increase in proportion of people over 65 years of age. Another major factor that has impacted on the prevalence of diabetes is the increasing age-specific prevalence of diabetes, especially in the younger age groups [37]. This suggests an earlier age of onset of diabetes, which may be of particular importance in low and middle income countries. It is also worthwhile noting the tendency for the prevalence rates of IGT to decline as that of diabetes rises, perhaps suggesting that areas with a high ratio of IGT:diabetes are at an earlier stage of the diabetes epidemic and thus may be a particular target for preventative strategies. Changes and variations in the ratio of IGT:diabetes prevalence – the so-called “epidemicity index,” may provide a useful marker for the scale of the epidemic in that particular region, as illustrated in Figure 4.3 [38].

### Regional and ethnic patterns of type 2 diabetes worldwide

This section considers the geographic distribution and secular changes in the prevalence of T2DM and intermediate hyperglycemia in the major regions of the world. Whenever possible, the most comprehensive and up-to-date source of data is the *Diabetes Atlas* produced by the International Diabetes Federation [1]. In addition, a review by Wild et al. [37] utilized age- and sex-specific estimates for diabetes prevalence from available epidemiologic surveys to extrapolate prevalence in related countries using a combination of criteria including geographic proximity, ethnic and socioeconomic similarities.

Current estimates of the total number of people with diabetes in each region of the world and in those countries with the highest overall numbers are shown in Figure 4.4. A list of countries with the highest prevalence and projected prevalence is listed in Table 4.2, and a list of countries with the most number of people with diabetes in Table 4.3.

**Table 4.1** Comparison of the 2006 WHO recommendations for the diagnostic criteria for diabetes and intermediate hyperglycemia.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Diabetes</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasting plasma glucose</td>
<td>≥7.0 mmol/L (126 mg/dL) or</td>
<td>≥7.0 mmol/L (126 mg/dL)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>≥11.1 mmol/L (200 mg/dL)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Impaired glucose tolerance</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasting plasma glucose</td>
<td>&lt;7.0 mmol/L (126 mg/dL) and</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>≥7.8 and &lt;11.1 mmol/L (140 mg/dL and 200 mg/dL)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Impaired fasting glucose</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasting plasma glucose</td>
<td>6.1–6.9 mmol/L (110–125 mg/dL) and (if measured)</td>
<td>5.6–6.9 mmol/L (100–125 mg/dL)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;7.8 mmol/L (140 mg/dL)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Venous plasma glucose 2-h after ingestion of 75 g oral glucose load.

**Africa**

T2DM in the African continent provides contrasting pictures between regions that are more urbanized and the more rural areas. While poverty and malnutrition is still a major problem affecting sub-Saharan Africa, a region where diabetes is comparatively rare, urbanized areas such as North Africa are reporting increasing prevalence rates [39]. It has been estimated that, overall, 3.8% of the adult population in the African region in 2010 are currently affected by diabetes, which is projected to increase to 4.7% by 2030 [1]. Other important epidemiologic issues in the region include a low incidence of type 1 diabetes (T1DM), which is complicated by the occurrence of atypical “ketosis-prone” diabetes, an atypical presentation of diabetes characterized by an initial clinical presentation of apparent T1DM with severe hyperglycemia and ketosis, and subsequent long-term remission with a clinical course more compatible with T2DM [40]. In addition, there is also a form of early onset diabetes termed malnutrition-related diabetes mellitus (MRDM) that is associated with past or
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giving age-adjusted prevalence of 8.5%, with marked urban–rural differences [43].

Sub-Saharan Africa
There is a paucity of prevalence data from sub-Saharan Africa, with most of the prevalence data derived from studies in Ghana, Cameroon, Nigeria, Tanzania and South Africa [39]. In a recent systematic review of prevalence data from Ghanaians and Nigerians, diabetes was rare at 0.2% in urban Ghana in 1963 and 1.65% in urban Nigeria in 1985. The prevalence of diabetes had risen to 6.8% in Nigeria in 2000 (for adults aged ≥40 years) and 6.3% in Ghana in 1998 (for adults aged ≥25 years) [44,45]. In Cameroon (West Africa), adults aged 24–74 years had an overall diabetes prevalence of 1.1%, with an IGT rate of 2.7%. Prevalence rates in the capital of Cameroon (Yaounde) were 1.3% for diabetes and 1.8% for IGT, compared with rural prevalences of 0.8% for diabetes and 3.9% for IGT [46]. Undiagnosed cases accounted for the majority of cases in these studies, again reflecting a region at the early stages of a looming diabetes epidemic.

In South Africa, both diabetes and IGT are more common in both urban and rural communities. In Cape Town, age-adjusted prevalences were 8% for diabetes and 7% for IGT [47]. A recent study conducted in a rural South African community based on a 75-g OGTT and the 1998 WHO criteria reported an overall age-adjusted prevalence of diabetes of 3.9%, IGT 4.8% and IFG 1.5%.

North Africa
Prevalence rates are relatively high (4.2–9.3%) in Sudan and Tunisia as well as Egypt. The Egyptian study, of adults aged over 20 years, also showed an IGT prevalence rate of 10% [42]. Interesting urban–rural differences were also demonstrated; in Cairo city, diabetes was more common (prevalence 14–20%, depending upon socioeconomic status), while the IGT prevalence was lower (6–9%). The converse applied in a rural setting, with a diabetes prevalence of only 5% but a higher IGT prevalence of 13%. This may reflect the diabetes epidemic being at an earlier stage in rural populations (Figure 4.4). In the recent Tunisian National Nutrition Survey, prevalence of diabetes was 9.9%, present malnutrition and sometimes accompanied by pancreatic calcification [19]. Although infective diseases such as HIV infection and tuberculosis are currently the main causes of mortality in sub-Saharan Africa, the increasing prevalence of diabetes and other non-communicable diseases is likely eventually to overtake infections as major causes of mortality. In sub-Saharan Africa, only a small proportion of the population at present reaches ages at which T2DM becomes a major health problem. While greater access to HAART has lead to markedly reduced mortality, the improvement in life expectancy, coupled with the adverse metabolic effects of HAART, are likely to contribute to further increases in the prevalence of T2DM within the region [19,41].

Figure 4.3 Global prevalence estimates of diabetes (20–79 years), 2010. Adapted from International Diabetes Federation [1].
positive family history, ethnic origin, central adiposity and physical inactivity [39]. Possible ethnic differences have been examined in several studies. In both Tanzania and South Africa, migrant Asians have higher diabetes prevalence rates than indigenous Africans [49] but this could reflect lifestyle differences. In Tanzania the difference was particularly marked (1.1% in Africans vs 9.1% in Asians), which again emphasizes the low prevalence in urban East Africans.

The emerging epidemic of T2DM is further compounded by the various problems hampering the delivery of effective diabetes care within this region. This has resulted in poor glycemic control among most patients, as well as a high frequency of chronic microvascular complications. Better access to health care and treatment, improvement in infrastructure to support services and health care information systems as well as primary prevention measures are urgently needed in order to reduce the burden of acute and chronic complications of diabetes in the region [19,39,41].

The Americas
North America (USA and Canada)
Diabetes and its complications are common and a significant cause of morbidity in North America. The NHANES study

Notably, 85% of the cases with diabetes were uncovered by the survey [48].

In addition to exposure to urban environment, other factors that determine the risk of T2DM in African populations include

Table 4.2 Prevalence of diabetes (20–79 age group) in 2010 and 2030. Data from International Diabetes Federation, 2009 [1].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>2010 Prevalence (%)</th>
<th>2030 Prevalence (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Nauru</td>
<td>30.9</td>
<td>33.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>United Arab Emirates</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>21.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Saudi Arabia</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>18.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Mauritius</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>19.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Bahrain</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>17.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Reunion</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>18.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Kuwait</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>16.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Oman</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>14.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Tonga</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>15.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Malaysia</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>13.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4.4 Relationship between impaired glucose tolerance (IGT) prevalence and diabetes prevalence and the relationship to the Epidemicity Index.

h, high socioeconomic status; l, low socioeconomic status; r, rural; u, urban; Sudan-D, Dangla tribe; OFS, South Africa Free State. Adapted from Dowse et al. [38].
reported a crude prevalence of total diabetes in 1999–2002 as 9.3%, consisting of 6.5% diagnosed and 2.8% undiagnosed [50]. This was significantly increased compared with a crude prevalence of total diabetes in 1988–1994 of 5.1%, mainly because of an increase in diagnosed diabetes. There was marked variation in prevalence in different ethnic groups, with age- and sex-standardized prevalence of diagnosed diabetes approximately twice as high in non-Latino African-Americans (11%) and Mexican-Americans (10.4%) compared with White people of Northern European ancestry (5.2%). The prevalence of diabetes among the elderly of these minority groups was particularly high, exceeding 30% [50].

The high prevalence rates in US Latino people and African-Americans is well documented. In 1991, the age-adjusted prevalence of diabetes was 6% in White people, 9% in Cubans, 10% in African-Americans, 13% in Mexican-Americans and 13% in Puerto Ricans [51]. Rates have risen in all groups, but these differences appear to persist. Between 1987 and 1996, the 7- to 8-year incidence of T2DM approximately tripled in both Mexican-Americans and White people of Northern European ancestry, although the absolute rate was twice as high in the Mexican-Americans [52]. T2DM is also significantly more common among older Puerto Ricans (38%) and Dominicans (35%) than among White people of Northern European ancestry (23%) [53]. Economic disadvantage may explain much of the excess prevalence of T2DM among African-American women [54].

Table 4.3 Estimated numbers of people with diabetes in 2010 and 2030 in the 20–79 year age group. Data from International Diabetes Federation, 2009 [1].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Persons (millions)</th>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Persons (millions)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>50.8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>87.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>43.2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>62.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>United States of America</td>
<td>26.8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>United States of America</td>
<td>36.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Russian Federation</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Pakistan</td>
<td>13.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>12.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Indonesia</td>
<td>12.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Pakistan</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>11.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Bangladesh</td>
<td>10.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Indonesia</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Russian Federation</td>
<td>10.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Egypt</td>
<td>8.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Other populations in the USA that are particularly at risk of T2DM are the Native American Indian communities, notably the Pima Indians, of whom 50% have diabetes [55]. Reports have highlighted the developing epidemic of T2DM in Native American Indian youth [56]. Among 15- to 19-year-olds, diabetes now affects 5.1% of Pima Indians (a sixfold increase in prevalence over the last 20 years) and 0.2% for Canadian Cree and Ojibway Indians. The overall prevalence among all US Native American Indians of this age is 0.5%. This epidemic of T2DM in young Native American Indians is supported by secular trends in the incidence rate of T2DM over the past 40 years in Pima Indians, which showed a more than fivefold increase in incidence rates among Pima Indians aged 5–14 years [57].

A similar situation was seen in Canada, where aboriginal peoples living in Canada had more than twofold increase in prevalence compared with the non-aboriginal population [58]. Other minority groups are also not spared. Among native Hawaiians (Polynesians), the crude (i.e. not age-adjusted) prevalence rates of T2DM and IGT were reported in 1998 to be 20% and 16%, respectively. The age-adjusted rate for T2DM was four times higher than among the US NHANES II study population [59]. In 1991, second-generation Japanese-Americans had prevalence rates of 16% (diabetes) and 40% (IGT) [60], and incidence rates remain high at 17.2 per 1000 person-years [61]. This is believed to be a result of the increase in visceral adiposity in a population predisposed to impaired β-cell function [62]. Other Asian populations living in the USA that are particularly prone to diabetes include Chinese-Americans, in whom linguistic barriers may be a particularly relevant barrier to diabetes education and effective care delivery [63].

It has been estimated that the number of Americans with diagnosed diabetes will rise from 11 million in 2000 (overall prevalence, 4.0%) to 29 million in 2050 (prevalence 7.2%). The fastest growth is expected to be among African-Americans. The projected increase of 18 million is accounted for by approximately similar contributions from changes in demographic composition, population growth and secular rises in prevalence rates [64]. In 2010, it is estimated that 26.8 million people in the USA have diabetes, of which 5.7 million is undiagnosed. The direct and indirect medical costs attributed to diabetes in the USA in 2007 was $174 million [65].

An increasing number of younger people will be affected. In the SEARCH for Diabetes in Youth Study, a multi-ethnic population-based study, the incidence of diabetes was 24.3 per 100,000 person-years. The incidence rates of T2DM were highest among Native American Indians and African-American adolescents, with incidence rates of 17–49.4 per 100,000 person-years,
Europe
This region contains a diverse mix of countries that have marked differences in affluence, and includes some of the most developed countries in the world. Nevertheless, updated nationwide survey data are only available in some of the countries. In the recent Diabetes Atlas, less than half of the 54 European countries and territories in the European region had recently published data on national prevalence of diabetes, which ranged from 2.1% in Iceland to 12% in Germany (Table 4.4).

UK
T2DM imposes particular burdens in inner cities with multi-ethnic populations, as those originating from the Indian subcontinent have a high prevalence of the disease. In typical studies [78,79], the age-adjusted prevalence rates were 3% and 5% in Caucasian males and females, respectively, compared with 12% and 11% in their Asian counterparts in the UK. Asians also show a higher prevalence of IGT, a male preponderance, a younger age at diagnosis and a lower proportion of undiagnosed diabetes [79].

Poverty and social deprivation apparently contribute to the increasing prevalence of T2DM among inner-city residents. This was manifest in all ethnic groups in inner-city Manchester, including a surprisingly high age-standardized prevalence rate of 20% among White people [80]. Social deprivation, obesity, physical inactivity and smoking tend to co-segregate, which may
explain this phenomenon. The importance of dietary factors was highlighted by two recent studies, which demonstrated association between high dietary energy density or unhealthy dietary patterns characterized by high intake of soft drinks, sugar-sweetened beverages, burgers, sausages and snacks with incident T2DM [81,82]. In the Ely study, a population-based longitudinal study, the 10-year cumulative incidence of diabetes was 7.3 per 1000 person-years [83].

Scandinavia

In Scandinavia, the more homogenous population may indicate more accurately the true prevalence of T2DM among white Caucasians. A survey in Northern Sweden revealed a prevalence of diabetes of 8.1% [84]. Similar data were obtained in a recent study in Finland, with age-standardized prevalence of diabetes in 45- to 64-year-olds being 10.2% for men and 7.4% for women [85]. Lower prevalence data were noted for Iceland [86].

In the early 1990s, T2DM was rare in Northern Finland but the prevalence of IGT was 29% in men and 27% in women [87], comparable with that in a homogenous white Caucasian female Swedish population aged 55–57 years (28%) [88]. In Denmark, around 15% of the population have IGT [89]. The high prevalence of IGT in Finland prompted the Finnish Diabetes Prevention Study [90], which examined whether lifestyle changes could prevent the development of T2DM. Strikingly, nutritional advice and increased physical activity were shown to reduce the risk of developing diabetes by 58% in subjects with IGT, and the effect was sustained over subsequent follow-up [90]. A more recent study in three regions in Finland noted prevalence of IGT of 10.5% in men and 9.2% in women, which was substantially lower than the previous reported figures from Northern Finland. It is unclear whether this difference is a result of regional differences or changes in the diabetes:IGT ratio [85].

Using a register of patients with diabetes, it was calculated that the incidence rate of diabetes in Denmark was 1.8 per 100000 at age 40 years and 10 per 100000 at age 70. The incidence rate increased 5% per year before 2004 but then stabilized. The lifetime risk of diabetes was estimated at 30% [91]. A recent study in Finland noted an alarming increase in the incidence of T2DM among young adults, with age-adjusted incidence of T2DM among 15- to 39-year-olds being 11.8 per 100000/year. The incidence rate increased by 7.9% per year. Interestingly, despite having the highest incidence of childhood T1DM in the world, the incidence of T2DM among young adults in Finland is approaching that of T1DM among the 15–39 year age group (age-adjusted incidence of T1DM 15.9 per 100000/year) [92].

Continental Europe

A population-based survey in Verona, Italy, revealed an overall prevalence of T2DM of 2.5% which increased significantly after the age of 35 years [93]. In northern Italy, the age-adjusted prevalence of T2DM was 9% in males and 8% in females over the age of 44 years [94]. In a study that compared the prevalence of dia-

betes in Casale Monferrato in northwest Italy in 1988 and 2000, it was noted that the age- and sex-adjusted prevalence of diabetes had increased from 2.13% in 1988 to 3.1% in 2000, with higher age-specific prevalence rates of diabetes in every age group in the later survey, including a twofold increase in the risk for those aged ≥80 years [95].

In France, the MONICA study estimated the adjusted prevalence of T2DM to be 7% in men and 5% in women aged 35–65 years, and the adjusted prevalence of IGT as 12% in men and 5% in women [96]. The prevalence of diabetes increases to 19% in males and 9% in females aged over 60 years [97].

In the Netherlands, T2DM affects 8% of elderly Caucasians [98]; 65% of those with IGT and post-load glucose levels went on to develop diabetes within 6 years [99]. In a prospective population-based study between 1998 and 2000, age- and sex-adjusted prevalence of diagnosed diabetes was 2.2% at baseline and 2.9% after 2 years of follow-up, with elderly patients aged 70 or over accounting for 50% of the T2DM population [100].

In Greece, the prevalence of diabetes increased from 2.4% in 1974 to 3.1% in 1990 [101], and aging and obesity were associated with both T2DM and IGT [102,103]. Prevalence of T2DM was 7.6% in men and 5.9% in women in a survey conducted in 2001–2002 [104]. In a follow-up study of those free of cardiovascular disease at baseline, the incidence rate of diabetes within a 5-year period was 5.5% [105]. In Turkey, the overall prevalence rates of T2DM and IGT were 6% and 9%, respectively. Low levels of occupational activity, family history and obesity were all associated risk factors [106,107]. Adherence to a Mediterranean diet may also have protective effects against diabetes [108].

Prevalence data from Eastern Europe are comparatively sparse. The age-adjusted prevalence of T2DM for men and women in Fergana, Uzbekistan, is estimated to be 8% in both urban men and women, with IGT affecting 5% of men and 6% of women. Lower prevalence rates for both T2DM and IGT are reported for semi-rural inhabitants [109]. A survey in the rural area in the Sirdaria province of Uzbekistan confirmed similar age-adjusted prevalence rates of diabetes for men (10%) and women (7.5%); however, prevalence rates of IGT in Sirdaria women (14%) and in men (11%) were higher than for semi-urban or urban inhabitants in Fergana [110]. In Russia, the estimated prevalences were 6% in males and 7% in females for diabetes, and 6% and 13%, respectively, for IGT; clustering of hyperlipidemia, obesity, hypertension and low 10-year survival were observed among subjects with diabetes [111]. A survey conducted in Moscow reported low incidence of reported diagnosis of diabetes (2%) [112], which was supported by another study based on self-reported doctor diagnosis [113]. In addition to underdiagnosis, undertreatment and infrequent insulin use are also likely to contribute to the burden of morbidity [113]. Much of the estimated prevalence data in other Eastern European countries have been extrapolated from data from Poland, where prevalence of T2DM increased from 3.7% to 10.8% between 1986 and 2000, with a similar increase in prevalence of IGT from 2.9% to 14.5% during this period [114].
India

India is the second most populous country in the world, but currently has the highest number of people with diabetes, with an estimated 40.9 million affected in 2007, a figure that is set to rise to 69.9 million by 2025 [115]. Sequential surveys from India indicate that the prevalence of diabetes has risen steadily since the 1970s [116–119], although methodologic differences hamper comparisons between these studies.

The National Urban Diabetes Survey, carried out in six cities in 2001, found age-standardized prevalence rates of 12% for diabetes (with a slight male preponderance) and 14% for IGT; subjects under 40 years of age had a prevalence of 5% (diabetes) and 13% (IGT) [119]. Diabetes was positively and independently associated with increasing age, BMI and waist:hip ratio, and also with a family history of diabetes, a higher monthly income and physical inactivity. IGT showed associations with age, BMI and family history of diabetes. Subsequent studies showed increasing prevalence, with prevalence rates of 14.3% reported in the Chennai Urban Rural Epidemiology Study (CURES-17) [120], and 18.6% in the city of Chennai in the most recent study [121]. In addition to the increasing prevalence of diabetes, there appears to be a decreasing prevalence of IGT [120]. Another secular trend is the shift towards younger onset of diabetes, especially in urban areas, where up to 36% of those with diabetes are aged 44 years or less [120,121].

Urban–rural differences in the prevalence of diabetes have been consistently reported by different studies in India. A study from Chennai noted a progressive increase in prevalence rate with increasing urbanization; 2.4% in rural areas, 5.9% in semi-urban areas and up to 11.6% in urban areas [118,122]. Likewise, the most recent data revealed a prevalence of 18.6% in the city of Chennai compared with 16.4% in a town and 9.2% in peri-urban villages [121]. In a study carried out in 77 centers in India (40 urban and 37 rural), the standardized prevalence rate for diabetes in the total Indian, urban and rural, populations was 4.3, 5.9 and 2.7%, respectively. While the prevalence rates of diabetes and IGT are significantly higher in urban compared with rural areas, it appears that the rural–urban gradient is becoming increasingly attenuated [123].

The Chennai Population Study (CUPS) has recently revealed alarming rates of incident diabetes, which occurred at a rate of 20.2 cases per 1000 person-years [124]. Identification of high-risk subjects and increasing the awareness of the population is much needed. A risk score specific for Indian population, the Indian Diabetes Risk Score has been developed. It utilizes four clinical variables (age, family history, regular exercise and waist circumference) and a score of >21 is able to identify subjects with diabetes with a sensitivity and specificity of close to 60% [125]. This will help identify high-risk subjects for early intervention, because lifestyle modification has been shown to be effective in reducing progression from IGT to diabetes in the Indian population [126]. Recently, the pilot phase of a National Program on Diabetes, Cardiovascular Diseases and Stroke (NPCDSDS) has been launched in seven states in January 2008. It is hoped that this will help coordinate the multisectoral effort that is urgently needed in order to address the epidemic of obesity and diabetes in India [127].

Pakistan, Bangladesh and Sri Lanka

The situation in these countries largely mirrors that in India. Diabetes is particularly common (16% of men, 12% of women) in the rural Sindh Province in Northern Pakistan [128]. A more recent study from Pakistan indicates similar prevalence rates of 10–11% in urban and rural males and urban women, although lower rates were seen in rural females (5%); however, IGT rates in females were twice those in males [129]. Combining data from the four provinces of Pakistan, the prevalence of diabetes in the urban areas was 6.0% and 3.5% in women, with a total of 22% of the urban population estimated to have some degree of glucose intolerance [130]. Using data from the National Diabetes Survey, the WHO has estimated a marked increase in T2DM in Pakistan from 4.3 million in 1995 to 14.5 million in 2025 [37]. In rural Bangladesh, diabetes prevalence is 2.1% compared with an IGT prevalence of 13% despite the mean BMI being only 20.4 kg/m² [131].

In addition to urbanization, the main factor for the high prevalence of diabetes and metabolic abnormalities among Asians is the tendency to central obesity and insulin resistance [5,118,132–134]. Despite being born smaller, with lower birth weight, Indian babies have more body fat, which persists into adulthood, thus putting them at increased risk of cardiometabolic complications [135]. Interestingly, a recent study showed maternal nutrition, and in particular, low maternal vitamin B12 and high folate might be associated with increased adiposity and risk of T2DM in the offspring [136], suggesting that in addition to increased intake of fat and calorie-rich foodstuffs, other dietary factors may also have a contributory role.

Mauritius

The high prevalences of diabetes and cardiovascular disease on the island of Mauritius, in the India Ocean, have been intensively studied. Here, diabetes is common in an urbanized setting, across several ethnic groups (Asian Indian, Chinese and Creole); prevalence rates are 10–13% among the different ethnic groups, rising to 20–30% in those aged 45–74 years [137]. A repeat survey in 1998 revealed a rise in prevalence of T2DM to 17.9%. In both studies, the highest prevalence was seen in the Asian Indians [137,138].

The Middle East

Marked socioeconomic changes in many countries in the region, especially among the affluent oil-producing countries, has led to dramatic changes in lifestyle with changes in nutritional intake, decreased physical activity, increased obesity and smoking. This, coupled with increasing urbanization and improved life expectancy, has led to a marked increase in the prevalence of diabetes.
and IGT (Table 4.5). Compared with European patients, immigrants from the Middle East were noted to have an earlier onset of disease, more rapid decline in islet β-cell function, and have a stronger family history [139]. In terms of prevalence rate, the United Arab Emirates, Saudi Arabia, Bahrain, Kuwait, Oman and Egypt all feature among the top 10 countries with the highest prevalence rate of diabetes [115], highlighting this region as one that requires concerted public health action in order to reduce the potential impact of diabetes [140].

**Western Pacific region**

**Australia**

The Report of the AusDiab Study [141], published in 2000, provides up-to-date information about diabetes in a developed country. The overall prevalence of diabetes in Australians aged 25 years was 7.3% (8% for males and 7% for females), rising from 2.5% in those aged 25–44 years to 5% among subjects aged 65 years; the prevalence has more than doubled since 1981 [142,143]. Fifty percent of cases discovered in this survey were previously undiagnosed. The combined prevalence of IFG and IGT was 16% (males 17%, females 15%); thus, almost 25% of Australians aged 25 years or more have abnormal glucose metabolism. In Australia, T2DM accounts for over 85% of cases and T1DM for 10%. Using data from the AusDiab study, it has been estimated that the prevalence of diabetes is likely to rise from 7.6% in 2000 to 11.4% by 2025 [144].

T2DM is more common in Native Australian populations (e.g., 16–30% of adults in the Aborigine and Torres Strait Islander communities) [145]. In this population, diabetes was associated with higher rates of hypertension (69% vs 21%), obesity (44% vs 16%), elevated triglyceride and lower HDL cholesterol concentrations than subjects who have normal glucose tolerance.

**New Zealand**

In New Zealand, T2DM is consistently more common among Polynesians (Maoris and Pacific Islanders) than in Caucasians; it accounts for 89% of diabetes in Caucasians and 95% in Polynesians. Polynesians are also diagnosed, on average, 5–10 years younger than Caucasians and have a four- to eightfold higher prevalence of diabetic nephropathy. Strikingly high prevalence rates of 37–75% were reported for unemployed males, again emphasizing the role of socioeconomic status [146]. In the 2006–2007 New Zealand Health Survey, prevalence rates reported for adults aged >30 years were 4.3% for Europeans, 5.8% for Maoris, 6.5% for Asians and 10.0% for Pacific Islanders [147,148].

**Pacific Island countries**

The Melanesian, Micronesian and Polynesian populations of the Pacific Islands show great variations in diabetes prevalence, largely attributable to differences in economic development and lifestyle. Some of the highest prevalence rates worldwide come from this region, notably from Nauru and Papua New Guinea. The Micronesian population of Nauru, made wealthy by bauxite mining and with a longer history of westernization than other Pacific Island countries, currently have an age-standardized prevalence rate of 40%. High prevalence rates in Nauru have been maintained since the late 1970s, but now appear to have stabilized [149].

Fiji has a largely bi-ethnic population consisting of native Fijians of Melanesian ancestry together with migrants from India. Recent surveys from Fiji are lacking, but a survey conducted 20 years ago showed that diabetes prevalence rates were already higher among Indian migrants than in Melanesians. In adults above 20 years of age, crude prevalence rates were 13% among the Indian migrants (with no significant urban–rural gradient), but 7% and 1.7% among urban and rural Melanesians, respectively [150]. At the time, these results were thought to indicate a difference in ethnic (genetic) predisposition but this conclusion has since been tempered by the finding of high prevalence rates in urbanized Melanesians in Papua New Guinea.

The situation in Papua New Guinea provides an excellent example of the damage inflicted by rapid urbanization; diabetes is virtually non-existent in highland populations [151], a stark contrast to the age-standardized prevalence rate of over 40% among urbanized Koki people (Melanesians) in Port Moresby [152]. Intermediate rates are reported in Austronesians of coastal ancestry.

High prevalence rates have also been reported in Polynesian populations, conspicuously associated with obesity, both of which are particularly common in Polynesian women. In Western Samoa, diabetes prevalence was reported in 1991 to be 7–9% in

---

**Table 4.5** Prevalences of diabetes in selected countries from the Middle East.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Prevalence (%)</th>
<th>T2DM</th>
<th>IGT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>Oman [221]</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>7.1 (IFG)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Males</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>5.1 (IFG)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Females</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>Oman [222]</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Males</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Females</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>Saudi Arabia [223]</td>
<td>26.2</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Males</td>
<td>21.5</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Females</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>Saudi Arabia [224]</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Males</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Females</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1992</td>
<td>Iran [225]</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Urban males</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Urban females</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rural</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IFG, impaired fasting glucose; IGT, impaired glucose tolerance; T2DM, type 2 diabetes mellitus.
two rural communities and 16% in Apia; the prevalence had doubled since 1978 [153]. In the Kingdom of Tonga, situated south of Samoa, the age-standardized prevalence of diabetes was 15.1% [154].

Evidence suggests that diabetes remains undiagnosed in most Pacific Island people with the disease – perhaps 80–100% in some communities – compared with less than 50% in developed countries [143]. This is likely to contribute to high rates of complications and frequent presentation with diabetes-related problems such as foot sepsis [155].

Japan
T2DM has become more common in Japan since the 1960s, and data from rural parts of Japan suggested a prevalence of 9.1% in men and 10.8% in women, with corresponding IGT prevalence of 12% and 16.5% for Japanese men and women, respectively [156]. A National Diabetes Survey conducted in 2002 estimated a prevalence of 9% [157]. The emerging problem of T2DM among Japanese children is now recognized as a critical problem. T2DM outnumber T1DM in children and adolescents by a ratio of 4:1 [158], and the incidence rate of T2DM for 1981–1990 was 4.1 per 100 000 person-years, approximately twice the incidence rate of T1DM [159]. Nutritional factors are believed to play an important part, with the prevalence of diabetes among Japanese-Americans approximately twice that of Japanese in Japan [160].

The causes of death in people with T2DM have also shifted, possibly because of westernization of diet and increased fat and total calorie intake; higher death rates from renal disease than in Caucasian populations are now being supplanted by rising deaths from coronary artery disease [161]. In order to reduce the burden of diabetes, the Japanese government has launched a large national strategic research project named J-DOIT to reduce diabetes, improve patient adherence to follow-up and to reduce complications of diabetes [162].

Korea
In the Korean National Health and Nutrition Survey conducted in 2001, age-adjusted prevalence of diabetes was reported to be 7.6%. The prevalence of IGT was an alarming 23.9% [163], thus predicting a future epidemic of diabetes in the Korean population, similar to other Asian countries [164].

China and Chinese populations
The rapid increase in prevalence of T2DM in China provides one of the most striking examples of the impact of urbanization on increasing diabetes prevalence. The People’s Republic of China, with its population of 1.3 billion, is the world’s most populous country. Diabetes used to be rare; prevalence rates reported between 1980 and 1990 were consistently 1.5% or less, even in urban areas such as Shanghai [165], and as low as 0.3% in rural Guangdong Province. Recently, however, the prevalence has risen rapidly, a trend first demonstrated by studies conducted in the Da Qing area of north-eastern China. There, the prevalence in 1986 was 1.0% but by 1994 this had increased over threefold to 3.5% [166,167]. The 1994 survey of 200 000 subjects aged 25–64 years in 19 provinces that included Da Qing found overall prevalence rates of 2.3% for diabetes and 2.1% for IGT. A community-based survey of 40 000 people aged 20–74 years in China between 1995 and 1997 confirms this rising trend and also demonstrates urban–rural gradients in prevalence rates. Age-standardized prevalence rates were 3.2% for diabetes and 4.8% for IGT, with the highest prevalence in provincial cities and the lowest in rural areas [168]. The International Collaborative Study of Cardiovascular Disease in Asia, conducted in 2000–2001, revealed a further increase in prevalence of diabetes (undiagnosed and diagnosed) to 5.5%, with another 7.3% affected by IGT (Figure 4.5) [169]. Furthermore, amongst the 20 million Chinese people estimated to have diabetes based on fasting blood glucose, only 30% were previously diagnosed [169]. The large proportion of subjects with IGT is particularly alarming. In the community-based Shanghai Diabetes Study, subjects with IGT and/or IGT had 11.7-fold increased risk of diabetes compared with those with normal glucose tolerance over a 3-year follow-up period [170].

Chinese populations in affluent societies such as Hong Kong, Singapore, Taiwan and Mauritius all show higher prevalence rates compared with the People’s Republic of China. In Hong Kong, prevalence of diabetes has been reported to have increased from 8% to 10% between 1990 and 1995 [171,172]. Recent studies from Taiwan indicate prevalence rates of 9–11%, although methodological discrepancies make direct comparisons difficult [165]. The annual incidence rate in Taiwan has been reported to be 1.8% [173]. In a review summarizing the findings from prevalence studies conducted in Chinese populations, it was noted that Chinese in Hong Kong and Taiwan have a 1.5- to 2.0-fold increased risk of diabetes adjusted for age and diagnostic criteria when compared with their mainland counterparts [174].

Figure 4.5 Changes in prevalence of diabetes among Chinese adults aged 35–64 years. Data from the 1994 Chinese National Survey and the 2000–2001 InterASIA study. Reproduced from Gu et al. [169], with permission from Springer Science and Business Media.
The rapid increase in diabetes prevalence in China is likely to be driven by the increase in obesity, particularly among children and adolescents [5,175]. Analysis of data from the 2002 National Nutrition and Health Survey noted prevalence of overweight among children aged 7–12 years of 4.1%, and 5.6% for those aged 12–18 years, with obesity prevalence of 2.5% and 1.6%, respectively, for the two age groups [176]. In Hong Kong, a community-based study involving more than 2000 adolescents aged 11–18 years found alarming rates of obesity, with 8–10% of those aged 12–13 years fulfilling criteria for obesity [177]. In a national screening program among schoolchildren in Taiwan, 1992–1999, the rate of newly identified diabetes was 9.0 per 100 000 for boys and 15.3 per 100 000 for girls. Obesity was found to be the major risk factor for the development of T2DM, whereby children with BMI in the 95th percentile or higher had an approximately 19-fold increased risk of T2DM compared with those with BMI <50th percentile [178].

Such alarming data from Chinese populations highlight the potential for future rises within China itself. Unless effective measures can be implemented, given the huge population in China, the consequences could be devastating. The International Diabetes Federation has forecasted that by 2030, there will be 67 million people affected by diabetes in China [1].

South-East Asian Peninsula
There is considerable economic diversity within this region, although the recent emerging data from this region showed that despite the relatively traditional lifestyle in many of the countries, diabetes is increasingly common within the region. A survey performed in two villages in Cambodia in 2004 revealed a diabetes prevalence rate of 5% in the rural community in Siemreap but up to 11% in a semi-urban community [179]. A study conducted in adults in Ho Chi Minh City in Southern Vietnam indicated that the prevalence of diabetes has increased substantially from 2.5% in 1993 to 6.9% in 2001 [180]. Similar increases have been reported in Indonesia [181] and the Philippines [182]. Prevalence rates in Thailand appear to be approaching those reported from Malaysia and Singapore, with prevalence of diabetes and IGT in a recent national survey reported to be 6.7% and 12.5%, respectively [183].

In Singapore, serial studies since 1975 have shown a rising prevalence of diabetes from 2% in 1975 to 4.7% in 1984, 8.6% in 1992 and 9% in 1998. Two most recent surveys indicate that the rate of rise may have stabilized. Ethnic Malays (especially Malay women) and Indians have the highest rates of diabetes (14.3–16.7%) and also the highest rates of obesity. A further 15% of the adult population have IGT. In a recent survey conducted in 2004 by the Ministry of Health, Singapore, the prevalence of diabetes among the adult population is estimated to be 8.2% [184]. Obesity and adoption of a westernized diet and lifestyle are again closely associated. T2DM in childhood is also highlighted as an emerging problem.

Impact of diabetes
The epidemic of diabetes is having major impacts on both individuals and societies. These impacts are discussed in Chapter 5. The major burden is from the treatment cost of its complications, such as stroke, blindness, coronary artery disease, renal failure, amputation and infection. In 2007, about 3.8 million people died of diabetes and its related diseases. Most patients die from cardiovascular disease (particularly coronary artery disease and stroke) and end-stage renal disease. There are geographic differences in both the magnitude of these problems and their relative contributions to overall morbidity and mortality. In Caucasian populations, macrovascular complications such as coronary artery disease and amputation are major causes of disability. In contrast, end-stage renal disease and stroke are prevalent among Chinese and Asian ethnic groups. Other issues include the apparent vulnerability of Pacific Island populations to neuropathy and metabolic problems, and South Asian to coronary artery disease.

It is well established that the occurrence of vascular complications of diabetes is related to the duration of hyperglycemia. With the earlier onset of T2DM, most patients will have increased risk of developing these devastating complications. Despite the high prevalence of complications of diabetes and hence the high costs of management, simple low-cost measures are effective in preventing the development of diabetes and its vascular complications. Rather than merely focusing on the control of hyperglycemia, global risk reduction with attention on cardiovascular risk factors has been proved to be the most effective way of reducing the burden of diabetes. The challenge is to provide a platform whereby effective care can be delivered at an affordable cost.

Mortality and morbidity
Diabetes is associated with approximately twofold increased mortality in most populations, with the excess risk decreasing with increasing age [185–187]. While initial data suggest the excess mortality associated with diabetes may be higher in Asian populations, this is probably related to differences in death certificates coding practices [188–190]. It was recently estimated that about 4 million deaths in the 20–79 age group may be attributed to diabetes in 2010, accounting for 6.8% of global all-cause mortality in this age group. Over two-thirds of these deaths attributable to diabetes are believed to have occurred in low and middle income countries [1].

Most patients with T2DM die from cardiovascular disease (particularly ischemic heart disease and stroke) and end-stage renal disease [191]. Geographic differences exist in both the magnitude of these problems and their relative contributions to overall morbidity and mortality.

The most systematic comparative data, using standardized methodology, originate from the WHO Multinational Study of Vascular Disease in Diabetes, which has drawn from 14 centers in 13 countries since the 1980s. A follow-up report from 10 of
glucose control has not been shown to lower cardiovascular mortality in the short term [200,201], it may have beneficial effects in reducing cardiovascular and total mortality in the longer term, as suggested by recent long-term follow-up data from the UK Prospective Diabetes Study [202]. It appears that the relative mortality of diabetes is decreasing in some countries [203,204], and this may be related to the increased utilization of drugs to control hyperlipidemia, hyperglycemia and hypertension [205].

Health care burden and economic costs

The increase in diabetes prevalence, particularly among young adults, along with the increased morbidity and mortality associated with microvascular and macrovascular complications, is likely to lead to escalation of health care costs and loss of economic growth. WHO predicts that between 2005 and 2015, net losses in national income from diabetes and cardiovascular disease will reach international dollars (ID) 557.7 billion in China, ID303.2 billion in the Russian Federation, ID336.6 billion in India, ID49.2 billion in Brazil and ID2.5 billion in the United Republic of Tanzania [115]. The annual global health expenditure for diabetes in 2007 is estimated to fall between $232.0 and $421.7 billion, of which half will be spent in the USA (around $119.4 billion) and one-quarter in Europe ($64 billion). In the USA, the estimated total costs (direct and indirect) of diabetes increased from $23 billion in 1969 to $132 billion in 2002 [206,207]. The cost of diabetes in the USA in 2007 was estimated by the ADA to be $174 billion, which includes $116 billion in excess medical expenditures and $58 billion in reduced national productivity (Figure 4.6). The largest components of medical expenditures attributed to diabetes are hospital inpatient care, accounting for 50% of total costs, and medications and supplies (12%) [208]; however, the actual burden is likely to be even
T2DM and the role of various modifiable risk factors in its pathogenesis, a number of randomized clinical trials have been performed to examine the effect of lifestyle intervention on prevention of T2DM. A large body of evidence has accumulated from these studies on the effectiveness of lifestyle measures in the prevention of diabetes, summarized in Table 4.8. Most of these interventions include structured education and exercise programs, reducing fat intake and increasing fiber intake, moderate exercise for 30 minutes per day or more, and moderate weight reduction of 5% or more. Importantly, in addition to being highly cost-effective, the effect of structured lifestyle intervention on reduction of diabetes risk appears to be maintained over a long duration of follow-up [211,212]. In a follow-up of the Chinese Da Qing Diabetes Prevention Study, participants who received lifestyle intervention had a 51% lower incidence of diabetes during the 6-year active intervention period, and 43% lower incidence of diabetes over the 20-year period [212].

In addition to lifestyle intervention, several agents used in the treatment of T2DM and obesity have been evaluated in clinical trials and found to be effective in preventing diabetes. These include metformin, the thiazolidinedione class of compounds, acarbose and orlistat (Table 4.9). In addition, several clinical trials indicated that angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors and angiotensin receptor blockers may reduce incident diabetes in high-risk patients with hypertension [213–217]. A large randomized clinical trial compared the ACE inhibitor ramipril with placebo in subjects with IGT or IFG. It did not show a significant reduction in incident diabetes after median follow-up of 3 years, although ramipril was associated with increased regression to normoglycemia [218]. A large number of pharmacologic agents are currently in development or undergoing clinical trials for the treatment of T2DM or obesity. This should provide a constant supply of promising agents for evaluation in their effectiveness for preventing T2DM. At present, however, lifestyle intervention remains more cost-effective as a strategy for diabetes prevention.

More detailed discussion on epidemiologic aspects of diabetes can be found elsewhere [219].

**Prevention of type 2 diabetes**

“There are entirely too many diabetic patients in the country. Statistics for the last thirty years show so great an increase in the number that, unless this were in part explained by a better recognition of the disease, the outlook for the future would be startling. Therefore, it is proper at the present time to devote attention not alone to treatment, but still more, as in the campaign against the typhoid fever, to prevention. The results may not be quite so striking or as immediate, but they are sure to come and to be important”. [Elliot Joslin, 1921]

T2DM is a very expensive disease. As illustrated above, a significant proportion of health care expenditure in developed countries is spent on the treatment of diabetes and its complications. It is therefore beneficial to prevent diabetes or delay its onset, thereby also reducing its associated complications. With improved understanding of the natural history of the development of
### Table 4.7 Summary of cost-effectiveness of interventions for treatment and prevention of diabetes in developing countries. Reproduced from Narayan et al. [226].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Intervention</th>
<th>Cost/QALY (2001 US$)</th>
<th>East Asia and the Pacific</th>
<th>Europe and Central Asia</th>
<th>Latin America and the Caribbean</th>
<th>Middle East and North Africa</th>
<th>South Asia</th>
<th>Sub-Saharan Africa</th>
<th>Feasibility*</th>
<th>Implementing priority†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Level 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glycemic control in people with HbA1c higher than 9%</td>
<td>Cost saving</td>
<td>Cost saving</td>
<td>Cost saving</td>
<td>Cost saving</td>
<td>Cost saving</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood pressure control in people with pressure higher than 160/95 mmHg</td>
<td>Cost saving</td>
<td>Cost saving</td>
<td>Cost saving</td>
<td>Cost saving</td>
<td>Cost saving</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foot care in people with a high risk of ulcers</td>
<td>Cost saving</td>
<td>Cost saving</td>
<td>Cost saving</td>
<td>Cost saving</td>
<td>Cost saving</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preconception care for women of reproductive age</td>
<td>Cost saving</td>
<td>Cost saving</td>
<td>Cost saving</td>
<td>Cost saving</td>
<td>Cost saving</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lifestyle interventions for preventing T2DM</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Influenza vaccinations among the elderly for T2DM</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual eye examination</td>
<td>420</td>
<td>560</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>590</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking cessation</td>
<td>870</td>
<td>1170</td>
<td>1450</td>
<td>1230</td>
<td>730</td>
<td>660</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACE inhibitor use for people with diabetes</td>
<td>620</td>
<td>830</td>
<td>1020</td>
<td>870</td>
<td>510</td>
<td>460</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metformin intervention for preventing T2DM</td>
<td>2180</td>
<td>2930</td>
<td>3630</td>
<td>3080</td>
<td>1820</td>
<td>1640</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cholesterol control for people with total cholesterol higher than 200 mg/dL</td>
<td>4420</td>
<td>5940</td>
<td>7350</td>
<td>6240</td>
<td>3680</td>
<td>3330</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intensive glycemic control for people with HbA1c higher than 8%</td>
<td>2410</td>
<td>3230</td>
<td>4000</td>
<td>3400</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>1810</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Screening for undiagnosed diabetes</td>
<td>5140</td>
<td>6910</td>
<td>8550</td>
<td>7260</td>
<td>4280</td>
<td>3870</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual screening for microalbuminuria</td>
<td>3310</td>
<td>4450</td>
<td>5510</td>
<td>4680</td>
<td>2760</td>
<td>2500</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Feasibility was assessed based on difficulty of reaching the intervention population (the capacity of the health care system to deliver an intervention to the targeted population), technical complexity (the level of medical technologies or expertise needed for implementing an intervention), capital intensity (the amount of capital required for an intervention), and cultural acceptability (appropriateness of an intervention in terms of social norms and/or religious beliefs). ++++ indicates feasible for all four aspects, +++ indicates feasible for three of the four, ++ indicates feasible for two of the four, and + indicates feasible for one of the four.

† Implementing priority was assessed by combining the cost-effectiveness of an intervention and its implementation feasibility; 1 represents the highest priority and 3 represents the lowest priority.

diabetes. Hence, despite being one of the most common chronic diseases, diabetes can be prevented or controlled effectively with interventions that are relatively cost-effective compared with the cost of treating vascular complications.

“Some 246 million people worldwide have diabetes in 2007. It is now one of the most common non-communicable diseases globally. Diabetes is the fourth or fifth leading cause of death in most developed countries and there is substantial evidence that it is epidemic in many developing and newly industrialized nations.

Complications from diabetes, such as coronary artery and peripheral vascular disease, stroke, diabetic neuropathy, amputations, renal failure and blindness are resulting in increasing disability, reduced life expectancy and enormous health costs for virtually every society. Diabetes is certain to be one of the most challenging health problems in the 21st century.” [115]
References

Part 1  Diabetes in its Historical and Social Context


80 Riste L, Khan F, Cruickshank K. High prevalence of type 2 diabetes in all ethnic groups, including Europeans, in a British inner city; relative poverty, history, inactivity, or 21st century Europe? Diabetes Care 2001; 24:1377–1383.


111 Chazova LV, Kalinina AM, Markova EV, Pavlova LI. [Diabetes mellitus: its prevalence, relationship to the risk factors for IHD and prognostic importance (an epidemiological study).] Ter Arkh 1996; 68:15–18.


145 DeCourten M, Hodge AM, Dowse GK, King I, Vickery J, Zimmet P. Review of the epidemiology, aetiology, pathogenesis and preventability of diabetes in Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander populations. Commonwealth of Australia: Office for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Health Services, 1998.


147 Ministry of Health NZ. A Portrait of Health: Key Results of the 2006/07 New Zealand Health Survey. 2008: 137–142.


Part 1 Diabetes in its Historical and Social Context


