## **Assessment Criteria for Stat**

To be accepted, an article must satisfy all the relevant criteria from the following list. Evaluation is either Yes or No, for each criterion.

## **Quality of Results**

- · Clear purpose and conclusions
- **Novel** *statistically* (significant advance/significant adaptation from elsewhere/new model *etc.*)
- Addresses a worthwhile problem (problem is interesting and worth tackling)
- Appears to be technically correct (statistical and mathematical reasoning are sound)
- Adequate demonstration of validity (claimed properties or advantages justified sufficiently, sufficient detail that reader can reproduce results)
- Adequate references to literature (cites recent work, key papers in the area etc.)
- Appropriate example of real-world application (if an example or application is needed, what's provided is suitable)

## **Quality of Presentation**

- · Well organised
- Clear exposition (assumptions and model specifications clearly identified)
- Readable
- Well-summarised (title, abstract, keywords are suitably descriptive)
- **Software fit for purpose** (if software is provided it is adequately documented, works as stated, acceptably easy to use)
- Good graphics and tables
- Good English (good spelling and grammar)