

IJCP THE INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF
**CLINICAL
 PRACTICE**

Peer review at *IJCP* • www.IJCP.org

Recommendation

Accept as
is

Accept if suitably revised (minor
revision)

Revise and reconsider (major
revision)

Reject

- Willing to evaluate revised version? [Yes; No]
- Type of medical interest addressed by article [General; Specialist; Sub-specialist]
- Article would benefit from editorial [Yes; No]
 - If yes: I will write it [Yes; No] ... or: I recommend you approach this individual: [free text]
- Article would be better as letter to the editor? [Yes; No]
- Research ethics addressed appropriately? (expand in comments to editor as required) [Yes; No; N/A]
- Conflict(s) of interest between my work and that of the authors are as follows: [free text]

Analysis (expand in comments to editor as required)

	Rating (higher score=better)	4 (high)	3	2	1 (low)
1. Relevance to practising clinician					
2. Originality					
3. Validity (hypothesis, study design, methods, statistics)					
4. Conclusion (reasonable? supported by results?)					
5. Tables/figures (appropriate? informative?)					
6. Writing clear and accurate (title, abstract, text)					
7. Please enter your total score here					

General and specific comments to the editor (will be kept confidential) [free text]

General and specific comments to the authors (anonymous; will also be shared with other peer reviewers of this manuscript) [free text]